



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,580	08/26/2003	George A. Dunn	16869G-084700US	5971	
20350 75	90 07/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			NEGRON, DANIELL L		
EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834	4	2651		

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/649,580	DUNN, GEORGE A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniell L. Negrón	2651			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the standard period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 March 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Dispositi	on of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,8,9,11,13,15,16 and 18</u> is Claim(s) <u>3,5,7,10,12,14,17,19 and 20</u> is/ar Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.  /are rejected. re objected to.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/649,580

Art Unit: 2651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1, 8, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 1, 8, and 15, the Examiner cannot readily ascertain with the amended claim language where in the specification as originally filed such support for "...between a data sector and a servo sector..." is found, or by reference to the drawings, designating the part or parts therein to which the term applies.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 15, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christiansen et al U.S. Patent No. 6,369,969.

Regarding claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 15, 16, and 18, the rejections applied to the claims in the previous Office action mailed December 3, 2004 are herein repeated for the same reasons.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christiansen et al U.S. Patent No. 6,369,969

Regarding claims 2 and 9, the rejections applied to the claims in the previous Office action mailed December 3, 2004 are herein repeated for the same reasons.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed March 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., direction pattern is stored in the previously unused areas of data tracks that precede servo samples) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2651

## Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniell L. Negrón whose telephone number is 571-272-7559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-6:00) alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,580 Page 5

Art Unit: 2651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DLN July 7, 2005

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600